

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 7
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/239,878	01/29/1999	MARK L. BOYER	07091-006001	6652
75	590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER	
MARK J GATSCHET			CANFIELD, ROBERT	
JENKENS & GILCHRIST 1401 MCKINNEY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2600			3635	
HOUSTON, TX 77010			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		09/239,878	BOYER ET AL.				
	omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAIL ING DATE - EAL's	Robert J. Canfield	3635				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIO - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A	March 2006					
		s action is non-final.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
- 4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33,34,36,37 <i>and</i> 39-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-,=	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	☐ Claim(s) is/are anowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>33, 34, 36, 37 and 40-43</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>33, 34, 30, 37 and 40-43</u> Israile rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to: ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
		or organismona					
	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	at(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/239,878 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 03/31/06. Claims 33, 34, 36, 37 and 39-43 are pending with claim 39 being withdrawn from consideration for being directed to a non-elected invention. Claims 1-32, 35 and 38 have been canceled.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 33, 36, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 1,519,727 to Fritz.

Fritz provides a modular vault which is formed of a unitarily cast or formed single piece seamless body 12 having walls, a floor, a roof, a door 9 mounted within a door frame 6/20, and lining 14 which ensures vapor-tightness. Hooks 42 are provided, however, they are not formed in the housing body, but rather the door.

4. Claims 33, 36, 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent 1,400,104 to Thorig.

Thorig provides a secure vault formed from a single monolithic concrete body (a), having a door frame (n) positioned within a door opening, and a door (b) mounted to the door frame. Page 2, lines 36-38 recites that fire, smoke and gases cannot penetrate the interior. Thus the vault is considered to be vapor tight. The term "walk-in" fails to

Page 3

provide any specific dimensions. While Torig is silent as to the size of his vault it is considered to be capable of being walked into.

Alternatively, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims is a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device is not patentably distinct from the prior art device. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art that the safe or "vault" of Thorig could have been sized or scaled as desired, such as large enough to be walked into by a an average size person, without departing from or changing the basic function of providing a fire proof burglar resistant safe/vault.

5. Claims 34, 37, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 1,400,104 to Thorig in view of U.S. Patent 2,103,005 to Handly.

Thorig provides each of the elements of these claims except for providing a plurality of hooks integrally formed into the housing body (a) to facilitate hoisting.

Handley teaches that at the time of the invention it was known to provide concrete vaults with hooks integrally formed to the body to assist in lifting the vault.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art that the concrete vault of Thorig could have been provided in the roof thereof with integral hooks as taught at 27 by Handley to assist in lifting the vault due to Application/Control Number: 09/239,878

Art Unit: 3635

the great amount of weight the vault inherently must weigh since it is formed of concrete.

6. Applicant's arguments filed 03/31/06 have been fully considered but they are not found fully persuasive.

The argument that Fritz, Thorig and Handly fail to meet the limitations of a "modular vault" is not found persuasive. The vaults of each of Fritz, Thorig and Handly are as modular as applicant claimed device and meet at least the first definition of "modular" provided in applicant's remarks. The argument that Fritz fails to provide a door frame is not found persuasive because as noted in the rejection elements 6/20 are considered to provide a door frame.

The argument that Thorig fails to provide a modular walk in vault is not found persuasive. First, the language "walk in" fails to provide any specific dimensions. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., large enough to accommodate a person of typical height walking into the safe) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Applicant's analysis of the dimensions is not found persuasive because MPEP 2125 clearly states that proportions of features in a drawing are not evidence of actual proportions when drawings are not to scale. Even if one were to agree that

Application/Control Number: 09/239,878

Art Unit: 3635

Thorig implicitly discloses small dimensions the scaling up in size would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art as now noted above.

The argument that Thorig fails to provide a door frame is not found persuasive as the reference shows and discusses frame n including border p.

Page 5

In response to applicant's argument that Handly is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Handly is considered reasonable pertinent to the particulary problem of assisting in lifting of concrete vaults by providing hooks 27.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Canfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6840. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

., · · · ·

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert J Canfield Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635 <

06/02/06